### Site Background and History

The Route 940 Drum Dump (Site) is located in Tobyhanna Township near Pocono Summit, Monroe County, Pennsylvania. The Site consists of a grass-covered open clearing consisting of approximately 2.5 acres. Landmark International purchased the property in 1976 from the J.E.M. Partnership, which had owned the property since 1974. Between 1974 and 1978, approximately 600 drums of unknown contents from an unknown source were stored on the site. In 1978 the property owner arranged for removal of the drums. However in 1983 it was discovered that some of the drums had been buried on site and the contents of some of the drums were dumped on the surface of the ground on Site. The US EPA and PADEP initiated investigations and discovered rusted remains of several crushed 55-gallon drums in shallow trenches. Following EPA and PADEP response actions at the Site, Landmark conducted further investigations and actions at the Site in 1983. Contaminated soils were excavated and approximately 3000 tons of contaminated soil were removed from the Site. In 1987 an additional 4,000 cubic yards of contaminated soil were removed from the Site. In 1985 the Site was proposed for inclusion on the National Priorities List, 40 CFR part 300, and was finalized in July 1987.

In 1987, Landmark entered into a Consent Order with PADEP to undertake an RI/FS for the Site. In 1990, Landmark's performance of the RI/FS pursuant to the consent order was suspended due to non-compliance. The Site was subsequently turned back to EPA and a fund lead RI/FS was initiated. EPA's goals for Site investigation were to identify risks posed by the Site, to develop remedial alternatives to address those risks, and to protect human health and the environment. There were no principal threats identified at this Site based on the EPA criteria. As part of the RI a risk assessment was conducted to evaluate the potential impacts of the Site on human health and the environment. Upon review of the baseline risk assessment, it was determined that under the various risk scenarios evaluated for contaminants of concern at the Site, the Site contaminants did not pose any risks or threat to human health or the environment which would warrant EPA undertaking a remedial action. It should be noted that while there are naturally occurring metals, which at the concentrations detected in groundwater samples could potentially pose a health threat to those who use it

as a drinking water source, EPA can take no action. Under the Superfund Law, EPA is unable to address any risks that are posed by naturally occurring elements within an area except in conjunction with the remediation of any Site related contamination that is not naturally occurring. The Record of Decision (ROD) for the Site was signed in 1992. The selected remedial action in the ROD was, No Action. Under this alternative EPA will not undertake any type of remedial action since there were no site related risks which would warrant EPA to implement a remedial action.

## **Response Actions**

The 1992 ROD which identifies No Action as the selected remedy indicates that EPA will not undertake any type of remedial action since there were no site related risks which would warrant EPA to implement a remedial action. It has been determined that the previous actions which were completed by EPA, PADEP and Landmark have remediated the Site to the point where the residual risks posed by the Site are below healthbased standards and therefore do not warrant any further remedial action.

## Monitoring

The 1992 ROD for the Site required that ground water monitoring be conducted for a period of at least five years to assure that changes have not occurred which would pose a risk to human health or the environment. Five years of annual ground water monitoring activities have been conducted at the Site. Monitoring results at the Site indicate that the selected alternative identified in the 1992 ROD remains protective of human health and the environment.

#### **Five-Year Review**

EPA completed a five-year review report in 1997, where it evaluated the results of the monitoring activities at the Site. This report concluded that the Route 940 Site is protective of human health and the environment. Specifically, the 1997 five-year review recommended to continue monitoring activities at the Site for an additional year as required in the ROD to assess the continued effectiveness of the remedial action.

## **Applicable Deletion Criteria**

The remedy selected for this Site has been implemented in accordance with the Record of Decision. Therefore, no further response action is necessary. The remedy has resulted in the significant reduction of the long-term potential for release of contaminants, therefore, human health and potential environmental impacts have been minimized. EPA and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania find that the remedy implemented continues to provide adequate protection of human health and the environment.

Dated: August 3, 2000.

## Thomas C. Voltaggio,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 3. [FR Doc. 00–20426 Filed 8–11–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Coast Guard

46 CFR Part 67

[USCG-1999-6095]

## RIN 2115-AF88

## Citizenship Standards for Vessel Ownership and Financing; American Fisheries Act; Correction

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Correction to proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects the heading and preamble to a notice of proposed rulemaking published in the **Federal Register** of July 27, 2000. The rule proposed amending citizenship requirements for fishing vessels of less than 100 feet in length that are eligible for a fishery endorsement. This correction clarifies the correct docket number and Regulation Identification Number (RIN) for this rulemaking.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For questions on this proposed rule, call Patricia J. Williams, Coast Guard, telephone 304–271–2400. For questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Dorothy Beard, Chief, Dockets, Department of Transportation, telephone 202–366–9329.

## **Need for Correction**

As published, the notice of proposed rulemaking contains errors that create confusion for the Docket Management Facility and for you, if you are addressing the notice with your comments.

#### Correction

In proposed rule FR Doc. 00–18941, beginning on page 46137 in the issue of July 27, 2000, make the following corrections:

1. In the heading on page 46137, in second column, replace the Regulation Identification Number (RIN) with 2115– AF88. 2. In the heading on page 46137, in the second column, replace the Agency Docket Number with USCG-1999-6095.

3. On page 46137, in the second and third columns, under the **ADDRESSES** and "Request for Comments" headings respectively, replace (USCG–1999–6713) with (USCG–1999–6095).

Dated: August 9, 2000.

#### Joseph J. Angelo,

Director of Standards, Acting Assistant Commandant for Marine Safety and Environmental Protection. [FR Doc. 00–20593 Filed 8–11–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–15–U

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

## 47 CFR Chapter I

[CC Docket No. 94-54; FCC 00-253]

#### CMRS Interconnection and Resale Obligations

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; denial.

**SUMMARY:** This document considers whether facilities-based commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) providers should be required to interconnect with CMRS resellers' switches or with each others' networks. Specifically, the Commission denies requests for mandatory interconnection between resellers' switches and CMRS providers' networks. This action is taken to resolves issues raised in the Second Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in this proceeding concerning whether facilities-based commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) providers should be required to interconnect with CMRS resellers' switches or with each others' networks.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Peter Wolfe, 202–418–1310.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Fourth Report and Order (Fourth R&O) in CC Docket No. 94-54; FCC 00-253, adopted July 20, 2000, and released July 24, 2000. The complete text of this Fourth R&O is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Courtvard Level, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC, and also may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services (ITS, Inc.), CY-B400, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC.

## Synopsis of the Fourth R&O

1. The Commission, in this Fourth R&O resolves issues raised in 1995 in the Second Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in this proceeding (60 FR 20949, April 28, 1995) concerning whether facilities-based commercial mobile radio service (CMRS) providers should be required to interconnect with CMRS resellers' switches or with each others' networks. Specifically, the Commission adopts its tentative conclusion in the NPRM and denies requests for mandatory interconnection between resellers' switches and CMRS providers' networks. In the absence of any specific State interconnection requests pending before the Commission, we also decline to take action preempting state requirements. Finally, the Commission adopts it's tentative conclusion in the NPRM and decline to impose general interconnection obligations between the networks of facilities-based CMRS providers. Consistent with the interconnection provisions of the 1996 Telecommunications Act, as interpreted in the First Report and Order in CC Dockets No. 96-98 and 95-185 (61 FR 45476, August 29, 1996), the Commission concludes generally that efficient CMRS interconnection will be achieved between facilities-based CMRS providers through voluntary agreements.

#### Ordering Clauses

2. Accordingly, the Cellular Service Inc. and ComTech Mobile Telephone Company request for a policy statement recognizing the right of resellers to interconnection is denied.

3. This proceeding is terminated.

Federal Communications Commission.

#### Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–20522 Filed 8–11–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

### 50 CFR Part 17

RIN 1018-AGO4

## Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Reopening of the Comment Period on the Proposed Listing of the Buena Vista Lake Shrew

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; notice of reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act), provide notice of the reopening of the comment period for the proposed listing of the Buena Vista Lake shrew (Sorex ornatus relictus) as endangered. The comment period has been reopened in order to provide all interested parties additional opportunity to submit oral or written comments on the proposal, and request a public hearing, on the proposed rule. Comments previously submitted need not be resubmitted as they will be incorporated into the public records as a part of this reopening and will be fully considered in the final rule.

**DATES:** We will accept comments from all interested parties until October 13, 2000. Public hearing requests must be received by Setpember 28, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** If you wish to comment, you may submit your comments and materials concerning this proposal by any one of several methods.

1. You may submit written comments and information to the Field Supervisor, Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2800 Cottage Way, Room W–2605, Sacramento, California 95825.

2. You may hand-deliver written comments to our Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2800 Cottage Way, Room W– 2605, Sacramento, California 95825.

3. You may send comments by electronic mail (e-mail) to fw1bvshrew@fws.gov. Please submit comments in ASCII file format and avoid the use of special characters and encryption. Please include "Attn: RIN 1018–AGO4" and your name and return address in your e-mail message. If you do not receive a confirmation from the system that we have received your email message, contact us directly by calling our Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office, at telephone 916–414– 6600.

Comments and materials received, as well as supporting documentation used in the preparation of this proposed rule, will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2800 Cottage Way, Room W– 2605, Sacramento, California 95825.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dwight Harvey, Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office (see **ADDRESSES** section) (telephone 916/414–6600; facsimile 916/414–6710).